7- 3-03; 2:14PM;

;19496600809

5/ 7

Atty D cket No.: JCLA7737

Serial No.: 10/015,414

**REMARKS** 

Present Status of the Application

It is noted with a great appreciation that the Examiner allowed claim 8. Claims 5-8

remain pending of which claim 5 has been amended to more explicitly and precisely describe the

claimed invention. It is believed that no new matters adds by way the amendments made to

claims or otherwise to the application. For at least the following reasons, Applicants respectfully

submit that claims 5-8 are in proper condition for allowance. Reconsideration is respectfully

requested.

Discussion of claim rejections

The Office Action rejected claims 5-7 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Bergemont et al. (US-6,327,187, hereinafter Bergemont).

Applicants respectfully disagree and traverse the above rejections as follows.

Independent claim 5 is allowable for at least the reason that substantially Bergemont fail to teach,

suggest or disclose every features of the claimed invention. More specifically, Bergemont fail to

teach, suggest or disclose a memory cell comprising a nitride tunneling layer. Instead,

substantially Bergemont teaches an ONO gate oxide structure which is similar to the

conventional art. Therefore it is clear that Bergemont teaches forming an oxide tunneling layer.

Accordingly, Applicants respectfully submit that Bergemont teaches away from the claimed

invention in this regard.

Page 4 of 6

Atty D cket No.: JCLA7737

Serial N .: 10/015,414

Further, the method of erasing a non-volatile memory cell comprises: "applying a first positive bias to the drain region, applying a second positive bias to the gate conductive layer, and grounding the source region and the substrate to generate hot electron/holes in a channel region, wherein the hot electron/holes are injected into the charge-trapping layer through the nitride tunneling layer, as required by claim 5. The advantage of using the nitride tunneling layer that is disposed between the substrate and the charge trapping layer is that the energy barrier for an electron and electron hole can be effectively lowered compared to that of using oxide tunneling layer so that hot channel carrier injection can be effectively promoted, and therefore the operating speed of the memory device can be effectively increased. Because Bergemont substantially teaches using an oxide tunneling layer instead of a nitride tunneling layer, therefore, it is clear that Bergemont fails to teach using the nitride tunneling layer and therefore cannot possibly render the use of nitride tunneling layer obvious, much less teaching regarding the advantages of using the nitride tunneling layer.

For at least the forgoing reason, claims 5-7 patently define over Bergemont. Reconsideration and withdrawal of these rejections is respectfully requested.

Page 5 of 6

;19496600809

\* 7/ 7

Atty Docket No.: JCLA7737

Serial N .: 10/015,414

## **CONCLUSION**

For at least the foregoing reasons, it is believed that all pending claims 5-8 are in proper condition for allowance. If the Examiner believes that a conference would be of value in expediting the prosecution of this application, he is cordially invited to telephone the undersigned counsel to arrange for such a conference.

Date: 7 / 3 / 2

4 Venture, Suite 250 Irvine, CA 92618 Tel.: (949) 660-0761

Fax: (949)-660-0809

Respectfully submitted, J.C. PATENTS

iawei Huang

Registration No. 43,330

**FAX RECEIVED** 

JUL 0 3 2003

**TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800**